arXiv:1303.1801v1 [math.MG] 7 Mar 2013

AN INEQUALITY FOR ELLIPTIC ISOMETRIES IN CAT(κ) SPACES

KHEK LUN HAROLD CHAO

Abstract. In this short note, we prove an optimal inequality of Alexandrov angles for elliptic isometries of finite order on any CAT(κ) space, and the equality case leads to an isometrically embedded circle in the completion of the space of directions, which implies that there is a 2-flat in the tangent cone rotated by the induced action of the isometry.

1. Introduction

In CAT(κ) space elliptic isometries one usually deals with are those of finite order. For such an isometry, we would like to know what angle it turns a point that is not fixed. The simplest example is a rotation of order n on a plane. In this case every point is turned by an angle $2\pi/n$ except the fixed point. For an orthogonal transformation with finite order in $\mathbb{R}^k$, one can show that any non-fixed point is turned by an angle at least $2\pi/n$. For $n \geq 3$, this lower bound is achieved iff there is a 2-dimensional invariant subspace such that the action restricted on it is a rotation of angle $2\pi/n$. Now the Gromov link condition on piecewise Euclidean 2-complexes says that the complex is CAT(0) iff any closed curve in the link at any point has length at least 2π, so one may think that the lower bound is still valid in this case. It is natural to expect the same for a general complete CAT(0) space, i.e. $2\pi/n$ is a lower bound for the angle.

Concerning the above question, the only result which the author can find is the following simple estimate by Caprace and Monod: Let X be a complete CAT(0) space, and g be an elliptic isometry of finite order n on X. For any point x not fixed by g, denote by c the closest point to x in $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$. (Then c has to be the circumcenter of the orbit of x.) In the middle of their proof of Alexandrov angle rigidity ([CM09] Proof of Proposition 6.8), they showed that $\angle_c(x, g \cdot x) \geq 1/n$. This lower bound is much smaller than the one we want.

In this paper, we will not only show that $2\pi/n$ is a lower bound for an elliptic isometry in a CAT(0) space, but we will show a more general result for finite order elliptic isometries on a CAT(κ) space. We suppose $n \geq 3$, since for $n = 2$ the inequality is trivial and is actually an equality.

Theorem I. *Let g be any elliptic isometry with finite order $n \geq 3$ on a complete CAT(κ) space X, and x be a point not fixed by g. If $\kappa > 0$ assume* *that the orbit of* x *by* g *has radius less than* $\pi/(2\sqrt{\kappa})$*. Then*

$$\angle_c(x, g\cdot x) \geq \frac{2\pi}{n}$$

where c *is the circumcenter of the orbit of* x*.*

Moreover, analogous to the situation in $\mathbb{R}^k$, we have an invariant 2-flat in the tangent cone when this bound is achieved.

Theorem II. *If* $n \geq 3$ *and* $\angle_c(x, g\cdot x) = 2\pi/n$*, then the concatenation of the geodesic segments* $[g^i\cdot\chi, g^{i+1}\cdot\chi]$ *in* $\overline{S_p(X)}$ *forms an isometrically embedded circle of length* 2π*, where* χ *is the direction of geodesic from* p *to* x*. Also the tangent cone at* c *contains a 2-flat on which* g *induces a rotation of angle* $2\pi/n$*.*

2. Proof of the lower bound

We recall the definition of space of directions ([BH99] Def. II.3.18).

Definition 2.1. For any $p \in X$, consider all the non-trivial geodesics issuing from p. Define an equivalent relation on the set by $\gamma \sim \gamma'$ iff the Alexandrov angle between them $\angle_p(\gamma, \gamma') = 0$. The equivalent classes under this relation form a metric space with Alexandrov angle $\angle(\cdot,\cdot)$ as the metric. This metric space is the *space of directions* at p and is denoted as $S_p(X)$.

By a theorem of Nikolaev ([BH99] Theorem II.3.19), as long as X is a metric space with curvature bounded above by some κ, then the completion of $S_p(X)$ is a CAT(1) space.

The following is a simple lemma. We include a proof which will be referred to later.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* α *be a closed curve on the unit 2-sphere. If* α *is shorter than 2*π*, then there is a point* m *on the sphere such that* α *is contained in the hemisphere* $B(m, \pi/2)$*, where the metric is the angle metric on the sphere.*

Proof. Take two points x, x' on the curve α that divide it into two curves of equal length. Now $d(x, x') < \pi$ so there is a unique geodesic segment joining x and x', and we let m be the midpoint of this segment. Then m satisfies the desired condition. For if not, let z be a point on curve α with $d(z, m) \geq \pi/2$. Call the subcurve of α on which z lies as α_1. Rotate the sphere for an angle π about the axis through m, and let z', α_1' be the rotated images of z and α_1. Now $d(z, z') = 2d(z, m) \geq \pi$, but there is a path on $\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_1'$ joining z to z' with length equal that of α_1, which is shorter than π, a contradiction. □

The main ingredient of our proof is the majorization theorem of Reshetnyak.

Theorem 2.3 (Reshetnyak majorization theorem [AKP])**.** *Any closed curve* α *in a CAT(*κ*) space* U *is majorized by a convex region* D *in* $M^2(\kappa)$*, i.e.*

there is a distance non-increasing map from D to U such that its restriction to the boundary ∂D is mapped to α preserving the length.

For $\kappa \leq 0$, define a map $\exp_p^{-1} : X \setminus \{p\} \to \overline{S_p(X)}$ which maps every point x different from p to the direction represented by the geodesic segment $[p, x]$; for $\kappa > 0$, define $\exp_p^{-1}$ similarly but with domain $B(p, \pi/\sqrt{\kappa}) \setminus \{p\}$.

Let K be a compact set in X. If $\kappa > 0$ assume that K has radius less than $\pi/(2\sqrt{\kappa})$. By Theorem B of [LS97] any bounded set of radius less than $\pi/(2\sqrt{\kappa})$ in a complete CAT(κ) space has a unique circumcenter ; while if $\kappa \leq 0$ the uniqueness of circumcenter of any bounded set is automatic. Let p be a point in X such that $K \neq \{p\}$, and let $r = \sup_{x \in K} d(p, x)$.

Proposition 2.4. *If the image of $\partial B(p, r) \cap K$ under $\exp_p^{-1}$ is contained in $B(m, \pi/2)$ for some point $m \in \overline{S_p(X)}$, then p is not the circumcenter of K.*

Proof. Denote by $\overline{A(p, r_1, r_2)} = \{x : r_1 \leq d(p, x) \leq r_2\}$ a closed annulus. We claim that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the image of $\overline{A(p, r - \epsilon, r)} \cap K$ under $\exp_p^{-1}$ is contained in $B(m, \pi/2)$. If not, then the sets

$$\exp_p^{-1}\left(\overline{A\left(p, r - \frac{1}{j}, r\right)} \cap K\right) \setminus B\left(m, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

are non-empty for every $m > 1$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp_p^{-1}(\partial B(p, r) \cap K) \setminus B\left(m, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ &= \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\exp_p^{-1}\left(\overline{A\left(p, r - \frac{1}{j}, r\right)} \cap K\right) \setminus B\left(m, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

is an intersection of a decreasing sequence of non-empty compact sets, so is non-empty, a contradiction to the assumption. Let Q be the image of $\overline{A(p, r - \epsilon, r)} \cap K$ under $\exp_p^{-1}$.

As m is in the completion of the space of directions $S_p(X)$, we can pick a point $\gamma \in S_p(X)$ representing a geodesic $\gamma([0, s_1])$, where $\gamma(0) = p$, close enough to m such that $Q \subset B(\gamma, \pi/2)$. Since Q is a compact set, there exists $\rho < \pi/2$ such that $Q \subset \overline{B(\gamma, \rho)}$. From the first variation formula for CAT(κ) space,

$$\cos \angle_p(\gamma(\cdot), x) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{d(p, x) - d(\gamma(s), x)}{s} \geq \cos \rho > 0,$$

so for every $x \in \overline{A(p, r - \epsilon, r)} \cap K$, distance from x to the segment $\gamma([0, s_1])$ is less than r. On $\gamma([0, s_1])$ there is a unique point, which is different from p, that realizes this distance, and the distance $d(\cdot, x)$ decreases along γ from p to this nearest point. If $\kappa > 0$, then the above follows from the fact that closed ball with radius less than $\pi/(2\sqrt{\kappa})$ is strictly convex; while if $\kappa \leq 0$ the above is a result of the convexity of the distance function.

Define $d_x(s) := d(x, \gamma(s))$ on $[0, s_1]$ for $x \in \overline{A(p, r - \epsilon, r)} \cap K$. We claim that there exists $s_0 > 0$ such that for any x the minimum of $d_x(s)$ is not attained in $[0, s_0]$. Assume otherwise, then there exists a sequence $x_i \in \overline{A(p, r-\epsilon, r)} \cap K$ such that $d_{x_i}(s)$ attains minimum at s_i with $s_i \to 0$. Since $d_{x_i}(s_i)$ is bounded above by r, and $\overline{A(p, r-\epsilon, r)} \cap K$ is compact, by passing to a subsequence we may assume $x_i \to x_0 \in \overline{A(p, r-\epsilon, r)} \cap K$ and $d_{x_i}(s_i) \to C$. Then by continuity of $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ we have $d_{x_0}(0) = \lim_i d_{x_i}(s_i) = C$. For any $\epsilon' > 0$, $d_{x_i}(s) \geq d_{x_i}(s_i) > C - \epsilon'$ for i large enough, so $d_{x_0}(s) = \lim_i d_{x_i}(s) \geq C - \epsilon'$, thus $d_{x_0}(s) \geq C$ for all $s \in [0, s_1]$. Since $d_{x_0}(0) = C$, so $d_{x_0}(s)$ attains minimum at 0, a contradiction to the previous paragraph. Hence the claim. So

$$d(\gamma(s_0), x) < d(p, x)$$

for any $x \in \overline{A(p, r-\epsilon, r)} \cap K$. We may assume $s_0 < \epsilon$, then for any $x \in B(p, r-\epsilon) \cap K$ we have

$$d(\gamma(s_0), x) \leq d(p, x) + d(p, \gamma(s_0)) = d(p, x) + s_0 < d(p, x) + \epsilon < r.$$

From these two inequalities we have $\sup_{x \in K} d(\gamma(s_0), x) < r$. Thus p is not the circumcenter of K. □

With the above results we show Theorem I.

Proof. Note that Theorem B of [LS97] asserts that the orbit of x has a unique circumcenter for the case $\kappa > 0$, so the point c is well-defined. Suppose on the contrary that $\angle_c(x, g \cdot x) < 2\pi/n$. Joining $\exp_p^{-1}(g^i \cdot x)$ successively by geodesic segments in $\overline{S_c(X)}$, we obtain a closed n-gon in $\overline{S_c(X)}$ with length less than 2π. Apply the Reshetnyak majorization theorem, we get a distance non-increasing map from a convex region on the unit 2-sphere to $\overline{S_c(X)}$ such that the boundary of the convex region is mapped to the n-gon both of which have the same length. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a point $\overline{m}$ on the 2-sphere such that $B(\overline{m}, \pi/2)$ covers the convex region, and from the construction of $\overline{m}$ in the proof it follows that $\overline{m}$ is in the convex region. Let m be the image of $\overline{m}$ in $\overline{S_c(X)}$. As the map does not increase distance, the n-gon is in $B(m, \pi/2)$. Proposition 2.4 implies that c is not the circumcenter, a contradiction. □

Next we show Theorem II by using Theorem I to derive a contradiction.

Proof. We claim that joining any two consecutive segments gives a local geodesic. For this it suffices to show that for any $m \in [\chi, g \cdot \chi]$, $d(m, g \cdot m) = 2\pi/n$. Suppose not, then take $m \in [\chi, g \cdot \chi]$ such that $d(m, g \cdot m) < 2\pi/n$. The segments $[g^i \cdot m, g^{i+1} \cdot m]$ form a curve shorter than 2π, so using Reshetnyak majorization theorem as before this curve is contained in an open ball of radius $\pi/2$. Since $\overline{S_c(X)}$ is CAT(1), the orbit of m has a unique circumcenter q in $\overline{S_c(X)}$, which is fixed by g. The distance between q and the orbit of χ equals $d(q, \chi)$, and by Proposition 2.4 this distance is at least $\pi/2$, otherwise c could not be the circumcenter of the orbit of x, so there exists $\chi' \in [\chi, m]$ with $d(\chi', q) = \pi/2$.

Applying Theorem I to $\overline{S_c(X)}$ and the orbit of m, we see that $\angle_q(m, g\cdot m) \geq 2\pi/n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\angle_q(\chi', m) + \angle_q(m, g\cdot\chi') &= \angle_q(g\cdot\chi', g\cdot m) + \angle_q(m, g\cdot\chi') \\ &\geq \angle_q(m, g\cdot m) \geq \frac{2\pi}{n},\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}d(\chi', m) + d(m, g\cdot\chi') &\leq d(\chi', m) + d(m, g\cdot\chi) + d(g\cdot\chi, g\cdot\chi') \\ &= d(\chi', m) + d(m, g\cdot\chi) + d(\chi, \chi') \\ &= d(\chi, g\cdot\chi) = \frac{2\pi}{n}.\end{aligned}$$

Construct the comparison triangles $\triangle(\overline{q}, \overline{\chi'}, \overline{m})$ of $\triangle(q, \chi', m)$ and $\triangle(\overline{q}, \overline{m}, \overline{g\cdot\chi'})$ of $\triangle(q, m, g\cdot\chi')$ on the unit 2-sphere on the opposite sides of $[\overline{q}, \overline{m}]$. Since $d(\overline{q}, \overline{m}) < \pi/2$, the segments $[\overline{\chi'}, \overline{m}]$ and $[\overline{m}, \overline{g\cdot\chi'}]$ do not form a geodesic, so

$$d(\overline{\chi'}, \overline{g\cdot\chi'}) < d(\overline{\chi'}, \overline{m}) + d(\overline{m}, \overline{g\cdot\chi'}) = d(\chi', m) + d(m, g\cdot\chi') \leq \frac{2\pi}{n},$$

As $d(\overline{q}, \overline{\chi'}) = d(\overline{q}, \overline{g\cdot\chi'}) = \pi/2$, in the triangle $\triangle(\overline{q}, \overline{\chi'}, \overline{g\cdot\chi'})$ we have $\angle_{\overline{q}}(\overline{\chi'}, \overline{g\cdot\chi'}) = d(\overline{\chi'}, \overline{g\cdot\chi'}) < 2\pi/n$. But we have

$$\begin{aligned}\angle_{\overline{q}}(\overline{\chi'}, \overline{g\cdot\chi'}) &= \angle_{\overline{q}}(\overline{\chi'}, \overline{m}) + \angle_{\overline{q}}(\overline{m}, \overline{g\cdot\chi'}) \\ &\geq \angle_q(\chi', m) + \angle_q(m, g\cdot\chi') \geq \frac{2\pi}{n}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence a contradiction. (Note that here if we used χ instead of χ', then $d(\overline{q}, \overline{\chi}) \geq \pi/2$ only implies $\angle_{\overline{q}}(\overline{\chi}, \overline{g\cdot\chi}) \geq d(\overline{\chi}, \overline{g\cdot\chi})$, and the argument would not work.)

The claim means that the concatenation of $[g^i\cdot\chi, g^{i+1}\cdot\chi]$ is a local geodesic in the CAT(1) space $\overline{S_c(X)}$, thus it is an isometric embedding of a circle of length 2π.

The Euclidean cone over this circle is a 2-flat in the tangent cone. Since g acts on the circle by rotation of $2\pi/n$, this gives the same rotation by g on the tangent cone. □

Finally, we give an inequality on distances between 3 consecutive orbit points in CAT(0) space using the above inequality. We suppose the order of g is at least 4, since if the order is 3, the orbit points must form an equilateral triangle.

Corollary 2.5. *Let X be a complete CAT(0) space. Suppose g has order $n \geq 4$. For any point x not fixed by g,*

$$d(g^2\cdot x, x) \leq 2\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) d(g\cdot x, x),$$

with equality holds iff $g^i\cdot x$ are vertices of an isometrically embedded flat regular n-gon.

Proof. To simplify notation we denote $g \cdot x$ and $g^2 \cdot x$ by y and z. Consider the two comparison triangles $\triangle(\overline{c}, \overline{x}, \overline{y})$ and $\triangle(\overline{c}, \overline{y}, \overline{z})$ on the flat plane with $\overline{c}$ and $\overline{y}$ as their common vertices, and place $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{z}$ on opposite sides of edge $[\overline{c}, \overline{y}]$. These two triangles are congruent isosceles triangles.

In the case $\angle_{\overline{c}}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) > \pi/2$, we have strict inequality

$$\begin{aligned} d(g^2 \cdot x, x) &\leq d(g^2 \cdot x, c) + d(c, x) = d(\overline{z}, \overline{c}) + d(\overline{c}, \overline{x}) \\ &< \sqrt{2} d(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = \sqrt{2} d(g \cdot x, x) \leq 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) d(g \cdot x, x). \end{aligned}$$

In the case $\angle_{\overline{c}}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \leq \pi/2$, draw segment $[\overline{x}, \overline{z}]$ intersecting segment $[\overline{c}, \overline{y}]$ at the point $\overline{p}$. By Theorem I we get

$$\angle_{\overline{c}}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \geq \angle_c(x, y) \geq 2\pi/n, \tag{2.1}$$

hence

$$\angle_{\overline{x}}(\overline{y}, \overline{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \angle_{\overline{c}}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \geq \frac{\pi}{n}, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$d(\overline{p}, \overline{x}) = d(\overline{z}, \overline{p}) = d(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \cos \angle_{\overline{x}}(\overline{y}, \overline{p}) \leq \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) d(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \tag{2.3}$$

Let p be on geodesic segment $[c, y]$ such that $d(c, p) = d(\overline{c}, \overline{p})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(g^2 \cdot x, x) &\leq d(g^2 \cdot x, p) + d(p, x) \leq d(\overline{z}, \overline{p}) + d(\overline{p}, \overline{x}) \\ &\leq 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) d(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) d(g \cdot x, x) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Hence the inequality.

If the equality holds, by (2.3) and (2.4) $\angle_{\overline{x}}(\overline{y}, \overline{p}) = \pi/n$, so from (2.1) and (2.2) we have $\angle_{\overline{c}}(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = \angle_c(x, y) = 2\pi/n$. Then the Flat Triangle Theorem implies that $\triangle(c, x, g \cdot x)$ is flat, so is $\triangle(c, g \cdot x, g^2 \cdot x)$. Moreover, from (2.4) $d(g^2 \cdot x, x) = d(g^2 \cdot x, p) + d(p, x)$, so $[g^2 \cdot x, p] \cup [p, x]$ is a geodesic, which implies that $\triangle(x, g \cdot x, g^2 \cdot x)$ is flat. Hence $\angle_{g \cdot x}(x, g^2 \cdot x) = (n-2)\pi/n$, thus the sum of the angles of the n-gon equals $(n-2)\pi$, so by a corollary of the Flat Quadrilateral Theorem ([BH99] Exercise II.2.12(1)), the convex hull of the n-gon is isometric to a flat regular n-gon. The converse is clear. ☐

REFERENCES

[AKP] S. Alexander, V. Kapovitch, and A. Petrunin. *Alexandrov geometry.* to appear.

[BH99] Martin Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature.* Springer-Verlag, 1999.

[CM09] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Nicolas Monod. Isometry groups of non-positively curved spaces: structure theory. *J. Topology*, 2(4):661–700, 2009.

[LS97] U. Lang and V. Schroeder. Jung's theorem for Alexandrov spaces of curvature bounded above. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 15(3):263–275, 1997.

E-mail address: `khchao@indiana.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405, USA